Application No. Applicant(s) 10/088,730 WEUTHEN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Brian P Mruk 1751 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Brian P Mruk. (4)_____. (2) Aaron Ettelman. Date of Interview: 21 June 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 36. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) was reached. f(x) was not reached. f(x) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached between the examiner and the attorney to amend instant claim 21 to require that the composition is a solid laundry detergent composition comprising a surfactant mixture, and to amend instant claim 36 to correct a minor informality, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Bron 7. Mult 6/22
Examiner's signature, if required

FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.